1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SKLARWILLIAMS, PLLC Crane M. Pomerantz (NV Bar No. 14103) 410 S. Rampart Blvd., Suite 350 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 360-6000 Facsimile: (702) 360-0000 CPomerantz@sklar-law.com  OLSHAN FROME WOLOSKY LLP Kyle C. Bisceglie (pro hac vice) Kyle J. Kolb (pro hac vice) 1325 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 451-2300 Facsimile: (212) 451-2222 Kbisceglie@olshanlaw.com Kkolb@olshanlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs REMARK		
11	HOLDINGS, INC. and KANKAN LIMITED		
12	,		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
15			
16	REMARK HOLDINGS, INC., et al.,	Case No. 2:18-cv-00322	
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR	
18	$\mathbf{v}.$	EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS TO RESPOND TO	
19	CHINA DRANDING CROUD LINUTED (IN OFFICIAL	CAYMAN DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS	
20	CHINA BRANDING GROUP LIMITED (IN OFFICIAL LIQUIDATION), et al.,		
21		(FIRST REQUEST)	
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1) and LR IA 6-1, Remark Holdings, Inc.; Kankan Limited;		
	China Branding Group Limited (In Official Liquidation), an exempted Cayman Islands company		
25	acting by and through its joint official liquidators ("CBG"); and the Joint Official Liquidators, with		
26	no personal liability, Hugh Dickson of Grant Thornton Specialist Services (Cayman) Ltd, and David		
27	Bennett of Grant Thornton Recovery and Reorganisation Ltd (the "JOLs," and together with CBG,		
28	bennett of Grant Thornton Recovery and Reorganisation Ltd (the JOEs, and together with CBG,		
	1		

1	the "Cayman Defendants") hereby stipulate to the extension of Plaintiffs' time to respond to the		
2	Cayman Defendants' Motion to Dismiss to October 12, 2018. In support of this stipulation, the		
3	undersigned parties state as follows:		
4	1. The Cayman Defendants filed a seventeen-page motion to dismiss on September 14		
5	2018 (see ECF No. 40). The motion to dismiss raises seven separate bases for dismissal.		
6	2. This is a complex case, which raises issues of Cayman law not previously briefed in		
7	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant Roseman's Motion to Dismiss.		
8	3. The additional time is not sought for purposes of delay, but, instead, is necessary for		
9	Plaintiffs and their counsel to respond adequately to the motion to dismiss.		
10	4. This is the parties' first request for an extension.		
11			
12	DATED: September 20, 2018		
13	/s/ Kyle J. Kolb	/s/ Robert J. Cassity	
14	Kyle C. Bisceglie ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Kyle J. Kolb ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )	Robert J. Cassity (NV Bar No. 9779) HOLLAND & HART LLP	
15	OLSHAN FROME WOLOSKY LLP	9555 Hillwood Dr., Second Floor Las Vegas, NV 89134	
16	1325 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019	Robert D. Weber (pro hac vice)	
17	Crane M. Pomerantz (NV Bar No. 14103)	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP	
18	SKLAR WILLIAMS, PLLC 410 S. Rampart Blvd., Suite 350	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600 Los Angeles, California 90067-6055	
19	·	Attorneys for China Branding Group	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs REMARK	Limited (In Official Liquidation) and its Joint Official Liquidators Hugh Dickson and	
21	HOLDINGS, INC. and KANKAN LIMITED	David Bennett	
22			
23	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
24			
25	Thursday and the prompton who are		
26		TITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE ted: September 25, 2018.	
27			
28			